

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/918,100	IJAS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Carramah J. Quiett	2612	

**All Participants:**

(1) Carramah J. Quiett (Patent Examiner).

(2) Henry Steckler (Applicant's Representative).

**Status of Application:** Response To Non-final Office Action

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 September 2005

**Time:** Approximately 11:25am

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14, 17, 18

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



NGOC-YEN VU

PRIMARY EXAMINER

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called the Applicant's representative in order to place this application in proper condition for allowance. The Examiner inform the Appliant's representative of minor informalities for claim 17 and 112 2nd paragraph issues with claims 14 and 18. The Applicant's representative stated that he would contact the Applicant regarding the issues with the claims and agreed to contact the Examiner after speaking the the Applicant. The Examiner waited a week before calling the Applicant's representative (on September 29, 2005 between 10-11 am) in order to discuss correcting the claims (14, 17, 18). On September 30, 2005, the Applicant's representative gave the Examiner permission to make the suggested changes to the claims. However, the Examiner has decided not to allow this application (10/918,100) due to an alternative interpretation of the claims. As a result, a Final Office Action (paper date: 10032005) will be mailed to the Applicant.